

104th TRB Annual Meeting, January 5–9, 2025 Session 2023 - Accessibility of Streetscapes and Measurement Tools

The traffic behavior of pedestrians with mild Alzheimer's Disease or Mild Cognitive Impairment in urban areas, and its neuropsychological predictors

Olga Fafouti¹, Eleonora Papadimitriou^{2,3}, Ion N.Beratis¹, Dionysia Kontaxopoulou¹, Stella Fragkiadaki¹, Petros Stamatelos¹, George Yannis², Sokratis G.Papageorgiou¹

¹ Cognitive Disorders / Dementia Unit, 1st Department of Neurology, University of Athens, "Eginiteio" University Hospital, Greece
² National Technical University of Athens, Department of Transportation Planning and Engineering, Greece
³ Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Technology, Policy and Management, the Netherlands







Background

- Pedestrian accidents constitute a major road safety problem worldwide
- A significant share of older people (>65 years) are fatally injured as pedestrians in road accidents (44% in Greece, 35-40% in the EU, 23% in the US)
- Mild Cognitive impairment is a pre-dementia condition with considerable prevalence in the population (13% in Greece, 7-33% in the EU, 22% in the US)



Functions critical for safe walking

- Street walking is both a sensorimotor and cognitive task
 - Balance, posture and coordination
 - Walking speed
 - Vision & visuospatial perception
 - Attention (selective, divided)
 - Memory (destination, names of roads)
 - Executive functions (e.g. decision making)

Pedestrians with cognitive impairments are often forgotten (in data, in planning...)





Current knowledge

In contrast to the abundance of research on the driving ability of people with cognitive disability / dementia, research and data on pedestrian movement ability are scarce

- Neurofibrillary Tangles (NFT), which constitute a neuropathologic hallmark of AD, were associated with specific road accident conditions (crash victim autopsies)
- Cognitive deficits increase the risk for elderly pedestrians to be involved in crashes or in unsafe road – crossing decisions
- Wayfinding abilities are worse in elderly with cognitive decline, compared to the heathy elderly



Findings from virtual environments

Pedestrians with mild dementia were more likely to:

- make crossing decisions that would lead to potential collisions
- initiate crossing considering only the safety of the near lane and ignoring traffic in the far lane
- Processing speed and visual attention (UFOV) abilities significantly explain virtual collisions.

The Useful Field of View (UFOV[®]) neuropsychological test has been associated with driving and walking performance in the elderly



Source: Dommes A. et al. (2013), Functional declines as predictors of risky street-crossing decisions in older pedestrians, Accident Analysis & Prevention, 59, 135–143



Objectives

- To measure and analyse the walking and crossing behaviour of elderly with mild AD or MCI, compared to that of healthy controls
- To identify the cognitive functions that are associated with decreased pedestrian performance
- To investigate the potential of medical neuropsychological assessment tools as predictors of poor pedestrian performance

The present research aims to offer greater ecological validity and the ability to observe the actual behavior of cognitively impaired elderly people on the road in real traffic conditions.



Methodology: observational study

Inclusion Criteria

- Patients diagnosed with mild AD or MCI
- ✓ Age over 55 years old
- Both patients and healthy elderly had to be able to walk autonomously, without the assistance of a caregiver or a device

Exclusion Criteria

- Patients diagnosed with AD in late stage (severe)
- Elderly participants with difficulty in movement
- × Deficits of visual acuity or hearing ability.
- Other neurologic or psychiatric disorders







Participants

	AD Patients		MCI Pa	itients	Con	trols	ANOVA		
	(N=15)		(N=15)		(N=	15)			
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	F	Р	
Gender(m/f)	13/2	-	8/7	-	7/8	-	-	0.053	
Age	77.27	5.09	73.27	5.31	74.93	4.46	2.45	0.098	
Education	11.53	3.85	10.73	4.65	11.60	4.08	.197	0.822	

Control group

- matched for age and education.
- individuals who did not report any history of neurological or psychiatric disorders or cognitive complaints and had a Mini-Mental State Examination score over 27.



January 6, 2025

Experimental conditions

- A real life environment (configured route)
- Origin and Destination the entrance of University General Hospital "Attikon"
- Duration: 17 minutes
- Participants held a destination map, indicating the junctions to be crossed and the roads to be walked along
- Participants were followed by an observer, video-recording the walking task; data processing measured:
 - Orientation
 - Dangerous Crossing Location
 - Traffic Signal Violation
 - Velocity







Keyboard shortcuts @ 2024 Google Terms Report a problem

Neuropsychological evaluation

- Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE): general assessment of cognitive functions
- 5 object test: recall of the locations of five everyday objects, immediately after placement and after a brief period of time visuospatial memory
- Clock Drawing Test (CDT) executive function and visual-spatial function
- Trail Making Test A & B (TMTA, TMTB) visual search speed, scanning, speed of processing, mental flexibility, and executive functioning
- Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB): general assessment of executive functions
- Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLT): verbal learning and memory
- Digit Span: attention
- Judgement of Line Orientation (JLO): visuospatial function



Results – group differences in walking behaviour

One-way ANOVA

AD Patients (N=15)		MCI Patients (N=15)		Controls (N=15)		ANOVA		Post hoc comparisons	
1.13	0.31	-1.13	0.31	1.73	0.31	15.91	0.000	AD <mci**< th=""></mci**<>	
								AD <ct**< th=""></ct**<>	
0.73	0.53	-0.73	0.53	-0.13	0.53	1.07	0.353		
0.33	0.54	-0.33	0.54	0.66	0.54	0.75	0.479		
0.04	0.27	-0.43	0.27	0.84	0.27	6.71	0.003	AD <ct** MCI<ct**< th=""></ct**<></ct** 	
	AD Pati (N=1 Mean 1.13 0.73 0.33 0.04	AD Patients (N=15) Mean SD 1.13 0.31 0.73 0.53 0.33 0.54 0.04 0.27	AD Patients MCI Patients $(N=15)$ $(N=15)$ Mean SD Mean 1.13 0.31 -1.13 0.73 0.53 -0.73 0.33 0.54 -0.33 0.04 0.27 -0.43	AD PatientsMCI Patients $(N=15)$ $(N=15)$ MeanSDMean1.130.31-1.130.730.53-0.730.330.54-0.330.040.27-0.43	AD Patients MCI Patients Control $(N=15)$ $(N=15)$ $(N=16)$ $(N=16)$ Mean SD Mean SD Mean 1.13 0.31 -1.13 0.31 1.73 0.73 0.53 -0.73 0.53 -0.13 0.33 0.54 -0.33 0.54 0.66 0.04 0.27 -0.43 0.27 0.84	AD Patients MCI Patients Controls $(N=15)$ $(N=15)$ $(N=15)$ Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD 1.13 0.31 -1.13 0.31 1.73 0.31 0.73 0.53 -0.73 0.53 -0.13 0.53 0.33 0.54 -0.33 0.54 0.66 0.54 0.04 0.27 -0.43 0.27 0.84 0.27	AD Patients MCI Patients Controls ANC $(N=1)$ $(N=1)$ $(N=1)$ $(N=1)$ ANC Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD F 1.13 0.31 -1.13 0.31 1.73 0.31 15.91 0.73 0.53 -0.73 0.53 -0.13 0.53 1.07 0.33 0.54 -0.33 0.54 0.54 0.666 0.54 0.75 0.04 0.27 -0.43 0.27 0.84 0.27 6.71	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

*p<.05, **p<.001



Results – correlations of behaviour with neuropsychological tests - AD group

Neuropsychological Tests	Traffic Signal Violation		Da Crossin	ngerous g Location		Velocity	Orientation		
	r	p-value	r	p-value	r	p-value	r p-va	lue	
MMSE	-0.46	0.087	-0.65	0.008**	-0.02	0.943	-0.35	0.193	
DS(back)	-0.69	0.004**	-0.75	0.001**	-0.09	0.747	-0.16	0.566	
5 Object (DR)	-0.71	0.003**	-0.64	0.010**	-0.14	0.629	0.34	0.211	
JLO	-0.34	0.213	-0.66	0.007**	0.21	0.446	-0.49	0.061	
FAB	-0.02	0.933	0.28	0.303	0.59	0.023*	-0.17	0.537	

*p<.05, **p<.001



Results – correlations of behaviour with neuropsychological tests - MCI group

Neuropsychological Tests	Traff Vic	ic Signal plation	Dan Crossing	gerous Location	Ve	locity	Orientation	
	r	p-value	r	p-value	r	p-value	r	p-value
MMSE	0.56	0.844	-0.20	0.336	0.34	0.207	-0.26	0.356
DS(back)	0.34	0.213	0.00	0.980	0.46	0.084	-0.44	0.095
5 Object (DR)	-0.47	0.046*	-0.51	0.048*	-0.19	0.492	0.20	0.284
JLO	0.33	0.230	-0.22	0.440	0.10	0.716	-0.12	0.648
FAB	0.40	0.132	0.52	0.046*	0.13	0.641	-0.13	0.636

*p<.05, **p<.001



Multiple regression – AD group

Neuropsychological	Dangerous Crossing Location				Traffic Signal Violation				
	В	SE B	В	Р	В	SE B	В	Р	
MMSE	-0.10	0.16	-0.160	0.543	-0.11	0.17	-0.188	0.520	
DS(back)	-1.74	0.37	-0.790	0.000**	-1.40	0.45	-0.661	0.010*	
JLO	-0.05	0.08	-0.180	0.493	0.09	0.09	0.296	0.318	
50bject(DR)	0.04	0.21	0.041	0.857	-0.18	0.22	-0.205	0.429	
R ²	.62			.53					

*p<.05, **p<.001

• The Digital Span test is a significant predictor of observed unsafe crossing behaviour and traffic signal violation



Regression – MCI group

Neuropsychological Tests	Da	ngerous C	rossing Loo	cation	Traffic Signal Violation				
	R ²	В	F	Р	R ²	В	F	Р	
50bject(DR)	0.44	-0.38	10.23	0.007**	0.44	-1.64	10.18	0.007**	

*p<.05, **p<.001

• The 5-Object test is a significant predictor of observed unsafe crossing behaviour and traffic signal violation



Summary of findings

- Differences between healthy elderly and patients with mild AD or MCI in parameters of pedestrian behavior such as speed and orientation
- AD and MCI patients have lower speed crossing than healthy old pedestrians
- No differences between AD and MCI patients in road crossing decisions and signal display compliance
- Cognitive tests engaging visuospatial and executive functions have a significant correlation with unsafe crossing behavior
- Neuropsychological tests may be a predictive factor of pedestrians' behavior and therefore potentially prevent possible fatal accidents.



January 6, 2025

Discussion

- Dementia causes significant impairment of functions critical for pedestrian movement.
- Dementia often results in the cessation of driving, leaving walking as the only option for mobility and social participation for older individuals.
- However, avoiding the risk of a road accident is not guaranteed by stopping driving.

Cognitive impairments of elderly pedestrians induce risks for themselves, rather than for other road users



January 6, 2025



18/20

Recommendations for urban transport planning

Inclusive urban areas for cognitively impaired people

- Median islands on two-way streets may help older pedestrians cross the road in two stages
- Signalization improvements (e.g. audible signals, "countdown").
- Traffic signs (frequency and readability) for wayfinding
- Speed management: lowering speed limits, traffic calming, protection of areas with high activity of older pedestrians (e.g. 'hospital zones').



The Anonymous Pedestrians Wroclaw, Poland



January 6, 2025

Recommendations for transport & health authorities

Interdisciplinary collaboration

- Use of neuropsychological tests to identify potential traffic risk
- Joint research programmes for engineers, urban planners & medical experts for the urban safety of older people

Educational programs

- Mixed physical-cognitive training to enhance the motor skills of older pedestrians, along with control procedures in complex perceptual situations.
- Raise self-awareness among elderly (and their caregivers) of their declining abilities.





104th TRB Annual Meeting, January 5–9, 2025 Session 2023 - Accessibility of Streetscapes and Measurement Tools

Thank you!

Contact: Eleonora Papadimitriou

e.papadimitriou@tudelft.nl

nopapadi@mail.ntua.gr





